

SCO_FBIPROD_010135

10/11/2016 16:22:01	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	
10/11/2016 16:22:06	saparsens@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	I'll try to get a better explanation from them
10/11/2016 16:22:21	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	
10/11/2016 16:22:56	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	OK, found it.
10/11/2016 16:22:58	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	Thanks!
10/11/2016 16:23:12	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	On September 20, 2016 two thumb drives were received by FBI Cyber Division relating to [REDACTED]. Due to case operational tempo, and the need to assess the data at ECU-1 prior to referring the matter to the CG division the evidence was not charged into evidence (at the NVRA) until October 6, 2016.
10/11/2016 16:23:15	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	Sufficient?
10/11/2016 16:23:39	ncbatty@fbi.gov	saparsens@fbi.gov	Chicago!
10/11/2016 16:24:08	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	that's great
10/11/2016 16:24:18	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	OK, Signing now.
10/11/2016 16:24:22	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	however, the items were collected on 9/19
10/11/2016 16:24:27	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	according to the chain
10/11/2016 16:24:38	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	you accepted custody on the 20th
10/11/2016 16:24:54	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	changing to the 19th
10/11/2016 16:25:04	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	great!
10/11/2016 16:41:33	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	does my EC request to transfer the item to CG have to say anything other than:
10/11/2016 16:41:48	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	This EC requests transfer of evidence item 1B1* in this case file from WFO (NVRA) evidence to Chicago Division evidence.
10/11/2016 16:42:14	prich@fbi.gov	eahawkins@fbi.gov	Hi Adrian,
10/11/2016 16:42:33	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	(lead out to WF-4)
10/11/2016 16:42:51	prich@fbi.gov	eahawkins@fbi.gov	Elvis got your message about a call with Sussman either today or Thursday.
10/11/2016 16:43:06	prich@fbi.gov	eahawkins@fbi.gov	Is there anything you need from us before the call?
10/11/2016 16:43:12	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	does the evidence need to go to anyone's attention? and is it the main Chicago field office (not a RA)?
10/11/2016 16:43:44	prich@fbi.gov	eahawkins@fbi.gov	or would you like someone from SF in the call as well?
10/11/2016 16:44:50	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	OK, very good. I'll add those details. Thanks again.
10/11/2016 16:47:10	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	(not sure why I am now only typing in italics. Won't come off)
10/11/2016 16:47:16	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	Then that should be fine. I will get the item finalized as soon as FELU corrects the holding office then I can probably get that item sent out this week.
10/11/2016 16:47:34	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	Ok, very goo.
10/11/2016 16:47:36	ncbatty@fbi.gov	alichello@fbi.gov	good
10/11/2016 16:48:02	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	Thank you for taking care of this so promptly. If I can help you with anything else now or in the future, please do not hesitate to reach out to me
10/11/2016 17:43:51	eahawkins@fbi.gov	slgphooper@fbi.gov	DCCC is reporting FBI contacted secret service to start a capitol police threat assessment reach out from capitol police to each congressional member or advise them their SSN's have been posted. Anyone know anything about this? site may be something like "KSpin" or something?
10/11/2016 17:55:57	prich@fbi.gov	jhgraham@fbi.gov	we prefer direct dnc contact
10/11/2016 17:56:11	prich@fbi.gov	jhgraham@fbi.gov	That's why we wanted the list sent directly to Newell/Sussman
10/11/2016 17:56:20	prich@fbi.gov	jhgraham@fbi.gov	and just copied to Hawkins
10/11/2016 17:56:43	prich@fbi.gov	jhgraham@fbi.gov	Goal is to avoid getting info second hand from WFO
10/11/2016 17:57:02	jhgraham@fbi.gov	prich@fbi.gov	You tell WFO that?
10/11/2016 17:57:19	prich@fbi.gov	jhgraham@fbi.gov	WFO gave us the go ahead for direct DNC contact 2 weeks ago
10/11/2016 17:58:12	jhgraham@fbi.gov	prich@fbi.gov	so should we be talking to the DNC instead then? Why did hawkins talk to them today?
10/11/2016 17:58:22	prich@fbi.gov	jhgraham@fbi.gov	Exactly
10/11/2016 19:15:43	alichello@fbi.gov	ncbatty@fbi.gov	Hello again, sorry to bother you; however, I didn't realize this Chicago was classified as [REDACTED]. I cannot see the lead (or anything else in this case) to mail out the evidence. Is it lead 4? Would you (or your OST) be able to email me a copy of the lead?
10/11/2016 19:21:03	smnewell@fbi.gov	emchan@fbi.gov	Also, I saw you weren't able to make a call with Sussman.
10/11/2016 19:21:09	emchan@fbi.gov	smnewell@fbi.gov	yes, sorry.
10/11/2016 19:21:09	smnewell@fbi.gov	emchan@fbi.gov	Should we coordinate directly with Patricia on that?
10/11/2016 19:21:15	emchan@fbi.gov	smnewell@fbi.gov	yes please
10/11/2016 19:21:18	smnewell@fbi.gov	emchan@fbi.gov	(i.e., does she have more bandwidth)
10/11/2016 19:21:31	smnewell@fbi.gov	emchan@fbi.gov	Sounds good. We'll set something up for Thursday.
10/11/2016 19:21:33	emchan@fbi.gov	smnewell@fbi.gov	yes, we have some breathing room now that the public statement is out
10/11/2016 19:21:46	smnewell@fbi.gov	emchan@fbi.gov	Sounds good.
10/11/2016 19:22:03	emchan@fbi.gov	smnewell@fbi.gov	thx

SCO_FBIPROD_010135-016

SC_CLASSIFIED-006801
SC-3500C-000255

SCO_094854