

David Holland

From: "Brian Hoskins" <b.j.hoskins@reading.ac.uk>
To: "Lee Shailer" </O=UNIVERSITY OF READING/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=VIS04LS2>
Sent: 05 September 2008 11:37
Subject: #2 as requested

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Re: [Fwd: [Fwd: Questions Concerning your IPCC WGI Chapter 3 Review Editors Report]]

Date: Thu, 13 Mar 2008 09:48:54 +1300

From: Martin Manning <martinmanning@paradise.net.nz>

To: IPCC WGI TSU <ipcc-wg1@ucar.edu>, Susan Solomon <susan.solomon@noaa.gov>, Brian Hoskins <b.j.hoskins@reading.ac.uk>

References: <47D7F4E4.70408@ucar.edu>

Dear Melinda

Surely we had all the RE reports at the time of the Plenary Approval session in Paris?? I remember that there was one that you were still chasing but thought that was Bubu Jallow and that you got it from him in Paris. So I am very surprised by the date against Brian's signature in the attached.

As to the other stuff in Holland's letter - the fact that he is incorrectly calling his communication with you an FoI request indicates a lack of transparency. The form of RE report that Brian and others signed is standard language that has been used previously where there are no specific issues that need to be mentioned, and I believe that our letter to REs giving them this standard template allowed them to use a different format if they wished. As to some WG2 RE reports having more detail about areas of controversy - well that is probably to be expected given the very different nature of the material and the science disciplines.

Best regards
 Martin

At 04:21 13/03/2008, IPCC WGI TSU wrote:

Dear Brian,

I am forwarding the letter to Susan with a cc to Martin for their thoughts.

For the record, the letter states that:

Following FoI requests here and in the USA, the release, of Expert Reviewers' Comments and Authors Responses for WGI in June 2007 confirmed that there were many genuinely controversial

issues raised that were dismissed in some cases with little detailed rebuttal. At the time WGI TSU claimed not to have the Review Editors' reports. Subsequently WGI TSU have released a number of the reports including yours but appear still not to have one from every WGI Review Editor. Can you confirm to me that your report which I have attached was correctly dated 7/12/07?

You should know that the RE reports have been released based on Mr Holland's request to the TSU through email, not because of an official FOI request. Expert Review comments were released months ago and this also was not based on FOI. At no time have we ever "claimed" that we did not have the RE reports and we do have one from every RE (for every RE for every Chapter and TS), but I have not provided all of them as they need to be scanned - of which I have promised Mr Holland I would do by the end of this week (and probably today).

Best regards,
Melinda

----- Original Message -----

Subject: [Fwd: Questions Concerning your IPCC WGI Chapter 3 Review Editors Report]

Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2008 14:41:40 +0000

From: Brian Hoskins <b.j.hoskins@reading.ac.uk>

To: ipcc-wg1@ucar.edu

CC: Brian Hoskins <b.j.hoskins@reading.ac.uk>

I thought that you should see this and I wonder if you have some advice on how to handle it.

Thank & best wishes
Brian

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Questions Concerning your IPCC WGI Chapter 3 Review Editors Report

Date: Sat, 8 Mar 2008 17:51:34 -0000

From: David Holland <d.holland@tesco.net>

To: <b.j.hoskins@reading.ac.uk>

Letter Attached

--

~~~~~  
Please note that our email address has changed.

Please direct all future correspondence to:

[ipcc-wg1@ucar.edu](mailto:ipcc-wg1@ucar.edu)

~~~~~  
Melinda M.B. Tignor
Program Administrator
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

Working Group I Technical Support Unit
NOAA Chemical Sciences Division
325 Broadway DSRC CSD08
Boulder, CO 80305 USA
Phone: +1 303 497 7072
Fax: +1 303 497 5686/5628
Email:
ipcc-wg1@ucar.edu

31 Cypress Drive
Lower Hutt